UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

2007 DEC 21 AM 10:55

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BY ______ DEPUTY

TO: ☑ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Sammartino

FROM: R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 12/19/2007

CASE NO.: 07cv2236 JLS (LSP) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Petitioner

CASE TITLE: Walters v. Sisto

DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Motion to Reconsider Dismissing Petitioner's 28 USC 2241 Habeas Corpus

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

✓	Local Rule	Discrepancy
☐	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
☐	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
☐	**5.4**	**Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.**
☐	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
☐	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
☐	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
☐	7.1	Missing table of contents
☐	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
☐	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
☐		Supplemental documents require court order
☐		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X		**OTHER: Case closed as of 11/30/2007.**

Date forwarded: 12/19/2007

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

☒ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.

☐ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☐ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: Judge JLS

Dated: 12/20/07 By: [signature]

cc: All Parties